

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,539	03/29/2001	Kazutoyo Maehiro	6514-5	4166
7055	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			HARRISON, JESSICA	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3714	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_1 .
	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 8)
		09/820,539	MAEHIRO, KAZUTOYO	- []
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1/
		Jessica J. Harrison	3714	٧
 Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ ¯	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□ ;	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G <i>.</i> 213.	
Dispositio	on of Claims		•	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.	•	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	,	
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,	
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to I	by the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
.11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
, a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No	
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage	9
	application from the International Bureau			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment((s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intervious S	ummary (PTO-413)	•
	of References Cited (P10-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) ☐ Notice of In 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
raper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/2004</u> .	0) L_1 Outer	_	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 10, 2004 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 6 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to server system/method storing/registering/notifying/updating information, classified in class 463, subclass 40.
 - II. Claim 6, drawn to method of informing status, classified in class463, subclass 29.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each

other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as merely inquiring as to availability status of a system user, rather than managing personal data to the detail claimed in claims 1-5. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 6 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tang et al. USP 5,793,365 (Tang). Tang teaches a system for providing communication between a plurality of people, including a profile for each user and a plurality of information services. The communication system registers

Art Unit: 3714

the plurality of people and displays image representations of the people and their current activity to all participating users. Regarding the added limitations to the preamble/environment of use of the game machine directed to the information including whether the user is a child, such language fails to distinguish over prior art which shows the claimed structure. Claims 26 55 imilarly) structurally recites a game machine comprising a display controller that displays the personal information transmitted and Tang clearly illustrates that structure.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation of whether the user is a child has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Further, note the reference to Williams et al. which teaches, in a game environment, iconically represented profile information wherein when creating an icon to represent oneself, age is considered. Thus, younger players may create young icons. Other prior art cited to the record includes age as a searchable profile element when locating players online. These references

Art Unit: 3714

would become applicable should applicant structurally recite the child/age designation in a manner limiting to the inventive system defined by the claims. It is also noted that the advisory action mailed in response to the amendment when first proposed informed applicant the claim language was not limiting to the claims.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Application/Control Number: 09/820,539 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica J. Harrison whose telephone number is 703-308-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica J. Harrison Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714